

BY THE AUTHORITY OF THE COUNCIL

Valyon (Formerly SFNI)

IS A CERTIFIED MEMBER OF THE Responsible Jewellery Council

CERTIFIED MEMBER: 0000 1859 **APPLICABLE STANDARD: CODE OF PRACTICES 2013** CERTIFICATION PERIOD: 21 OCTOBER 2019 - 21 OCTOBER 2022

falla Alasfard

DAVID BOUFFARD Chairman

IRIS VAN DER VEKEN Executive Director Responsible Jewellery Council Responsible Jewellery Council

The Responsible Jewellery Council is the trading name of the Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd. The Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd, 9 Whitehall, London, SW1A 2DD, UK. The Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd is registered in England and Wales with company number 05449042.

RJC CERTIFICATION INFORMATION CODE OF PRACTICES Summary



Certified member	Valyon (Formerly SFNI)
Membership forum	Gold and/or Platinum Group Metals Trader and/or Refiner and/or Hedger
Certification number	0000 1859
Certification period	21 October 2019 – 21 October 2022
Audit date	10 October 2019
Audit type	Re-Certification
Previous certifications	1
Applicable standard	Code of Practices 2013
Accredited auditor	SGS United Kingdom Limited Lead Auditor: Anne-Lise Legrand
Certification scope	Valyon, Brignais, France - precious metal collection, casting and refining.

The Responsible Jewellery Council is the trading name of the Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd. The Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd, 9 Whitehall, London, SW1A 2DD, UK. The Council for Responsible Jewellery Practices Ltd is registered in England and Wales with company number 05449042.

Applicable provisions	 General requirements: 1-4, except 3.2 Responsible supply chains and human rights: 5-12, except 6.2, 7.1, 9.3, 11.2-4 and 12 Labour rights and working conditions: 13-20, except 17.3 and 19.3 Health, safety and environment: 21-25, except 21.10 Diamonds, gold and platinum group metals products: 26-28, except 27 and 28 Responsible mining sector: Not applicable
Provenance claims	Not applicable
Auditor statement of conformance	 Based on the scope and findings of the audit, the sites visited and the available information provided by the member: The member has demonstrated a conformance level consistent with a 3 year certification period The member has demonstrated a conformance level consistent with the transitional 1 year certification period, as one or more major non-conformances have been found
Mid-term review	Based on the scope and findings of the certification audit, the sites visited and the available information provided by the member: Image: Constraint of the state of the scale of the scal

	B. The member will require a desktop mid-term review during the three-year certification because:
	Any changes to the certification scope does not affect risk of changes to current conformance levels.
	The certification audit identified two or less minor non- conformances for critical provisions; or four or less minor non- conformances in total (that are not covered by a parallel audit programme recognised by the RJC) for any non-mining sector member, or an individual member is in the mining sector.
	The actions can be verified remotely.
	No risk to critical provisions.
	C. The member will require a site visit mid-term review during the three-year certification because:
	Known and anticipated changes to certification scope during the certification period
	Member no longer supports the recognised external standards and initiatives in Table 2
	Certification audit identified three or more minor non- conformances for critical provisions, or five or more minor non- conformances (that are not covered by a parallel audit programme recognised by the RJC for any non-mining sector member or individual mining facility if the member is in the mining sector)
	New systems and controls for critical provisions that could not be tested at the certification audit
	Facilities with risk of non-conformances against critical provisions
	Other compelling reason presented by the lead auditor
Confirmation	The auditors confirm that:
	The information provided by the member is true and accurate to the best knowledge of the auditor(s) preparing this report.
	The findings are based on verified objective evidence relevant to the time period for the assessment, traceable and unambiguous.
	The scope of the assessment and the method used are sufficient to establish confidence that the findings are indicative of the performance of the member's defined certification scope.
	The auditor(s) have acted in a manner deemed ethical, truthful, accurate professional, independent and objective.
Auditor signature	On file

Code of Practices certification

This certification is issued in accordance with RJC's Assessment Manual. The standard, supporting documentation, and assessment manual, can be downloaded at: www.responsiblejewellery.com/rjc-certification/code-of-practices-certification13-2/

This certificate can be authenticated by visiting: www.responsiblejewellery.com/members/certified-members/

If you have any questions about RJC certifications, please contact: certification@responsiblejewellery.com

The RJC aims to ensure the fair, timely and objective resolution of complaints relating to potential non-conformance with RJC certification or policies and procedures. The complaints mechanism and contact details can be accessed downloaded from: www.responsiblejewellery.com/contact-us/rjc-complaints-mechanism/

Disclaimer: No guarantee, warranty or representation is made by the RJC as to the accuracy or completeness of the information in this COP certificate, and no advice or information, whether oral or written, obtained from the RJC or elsewhere, will create any warranty, guarantee or representation in respect of this COP certificate. Compliance with RJC's standards and procedures is neither intended to, nor does it create, establish, or recognise any legally enforceable obligations or rights of, or against, the RJC and/or its members or signatories. To the fullest extent permitted by law, the RJC does not accept or assume responsibility to anyone for the audit process, for the member, for the entity, for the auditor, for COP certification, or for the decision to grant COP certification.